

Financial Statements and Supplementary
Schedules for
Port of Astoria, Oregon
For the Year ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTORY SECTION	
List of Principal Officials	i
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1-3
Management's discussion and analysis	4-7
Basic Financial Statements	
Statement of net position	8
Statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position	9
Statement of cash flows	10-11
Notes to financial statements	12-44
Required Supplementary Information	
Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) Fund Progress for the Port	45
Schedule of Port's Pension Contributions	45
Schedule of Port's Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability (Asset)	45
Supplementary Information	
Combined schedule of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance – budget	
to actual	46-48
Schedule of property tax transactions and outstanding balances	49
COMPLIANCE REPORTS	
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on	
Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed	
In Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	50-51
Schedule of Findings and Responses	52-55
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance and on Internal Control over Financial	
Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with	
Oregon Minimum Audit Standards	56-58
0	

PORT OF ASTORIA PRINCIPAL OFFICIALS

James T. Campbell, President 10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308

Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: July 1, 2013 - present

William Hunsinger, Vice-President 10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308 Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: July 1, 2013 – present

Stephen C. Fulton, Secretary 10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308

Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: July 1, 2013 - present

John P. Raichl, Treasurer 10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308 Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: June 3, 2014 – present

Robert Mushen, Assistant Secretary-Treasurer 10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308

Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: June 3, 2014 – present

E. Andrew Jordan, Attorney & Registered Agent Two Centerpointe Drive, 6th Floor Lake Oswego, OR 97035

Dates of service: July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2014

Ric Gerttula, Treasurer 10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308

Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: July 1, 2013 - May 7, 2014

Jack Bland, Assistant Secretary-Treasurer

10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308

Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: July 1, 2013 - May 20, 2014

Jim Knight, Chief Executive Officer 10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308

Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: October 27, 2014 - present

Michael J. Weston II, Acting Chief Executive Officer

10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308

Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: September 11, 2013 - October 26, 2014

Hank Bynaker, Chief Executive Officer

10 Pier 1 Building, Suite 308

Astoria, OR 97103

Dates of service: July 16, 2012 - September 11, 2013

FINANCIAL SECTION



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Board of Commissioners Port of Astoria Astoria, Oregon

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Port of Astoria, Oregon (the "Port") as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Port's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



MOSS-ADAMS LIP

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Port as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of a Matter

In the year ended June 30, 2015, the Port adopted new accounting guidance, Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*, as amended by GASB Statement No. 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date*, which modified the presentation of the financial statements by establishing standards for measuring and recognizing net pension liabilities, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and expenses related to pension benefits provided through defined benefit pension plans. In addition, GASB Statement 68 requires disclosure of information related to pension benefits. As discussed in Note 3 to the financial statements, the adoption of GASB statements 68 and 71 resulted in the restatement of beginning net position. Our opinions are not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 4 through 7, and the schedules of the Port's other postemployment benefits funding progress, pension contributions, and proportionate share of net pension liability on page 45 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the *Port's* basic financial statements. The schedules of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance – budget to actual on pages 46 through 48, and property tax transactions and outstanding balances on page 49, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic

MOSS-ADAMS LLP

financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Port's basic financial statements. The introductory section is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express on opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 22, 2015 on our consideration of the Port's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Port's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

In accordance with the Minimum Standards for Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations, we have issued our report dated December 22, 2015 on our consideration of the Port's compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, including the provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes as specified in Oregon Administrative Rules. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on compliance.

For Moss Adams LLP Eugene, Oregon

James C. layarotta

December 22, 2015

The Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) provides a discussion and analysis of the operating results, financial position and future prospects of the Port of Astoria "the Port", a municipal government organized by ORS 777. It should be read in conjunction with the financial statements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015 and 2014, including all accompanying notes to the financial statements.

Mission Statement

"The Port of Astoria seeks to generate economic growth and prosperity, in a safe and environmentally responsible manner, for its citizens through the creation of family wage jobs and prudent management of its assets".

Overall performance and goals

The Port's primary goals are as follows:

- To improve and strengthen the Port's transportation infrastructure in order to meet current and future demands on a competitive basis.
- To fully exploit the business and employment potential of the Port's industrial and commercial real estate in partnership with community development goals.
- To expand infrastructure in support of traditional natural resource industries and related trades.

Financial highlights

The Port's overall net position increased during fiscal year 2015 by \$1,044,446, a significant improvement from the prior year in which the Port had a net position decrease of \$7,789. Additionally, the Port had an operating gain in the current year of \$588,118, a marked improvement from the operating loss in the prior year of \$105,523.

Discussion of basic financial statements - The basic financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis, similar to a private business, whereby revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred, regardless of when cash is received or paid. The basic financial statements consist of a statement of net position which includes the Port's assets, liabilities, and net position (assets minus liabilities) at year end; a statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position; and a statement of cash flows, which presents the sources and uses of cash for the year. The financial statements also include notes that further explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detailed data. Following the financial statements is a section of supplementary information, nearly all of which is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

The Port is operated as a unitary enterprise similar to a commercial or business entity organized for profit. The general funds include accounting of operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private-sector business where the intent of the governing body is that the costs of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through service charges. Revenue is generated primarily through land and building rents, dock user fees, fueling fees, airport service charges, and timber revenues.

The *Statement of Net Position* presents information on all the Port's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. The net position total reported in the balance sheet serves as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Port is improving or declining over time. The *Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position* presents information on the operating and non-operating revenues and expenses of the Port. In addition, it provides information on how well the Port is recovering its costs and generating profits available to reinvest in Port operations.

Condensed Statement of Net Position

	 2015	 2014	20	13 (Restated)
Assets		 _		
Current assets	\$ 2,988,411	\$ 2,450,884	\$	2,155,188
Restricted assets	370,880	200,732		-
Other assets	8,477,061	8,868,580		9,190,298
Capital assets	 30,046,324	 30,683,779		31,191,976
Total assets	 41,882,676	 42,203,975		42,537,462
Deferred outflows of resources	 146,999	 		
Liabilities				
Current liabilities	\$ 2,400,127	\$ 2,572,229	\$	2,620,930
Long-term liabilities	18,949,494	20,056,652		20,333,649
Total liabilities	 21,349,621	 22,628,881		22,954,579
Deferred inflows of resources	538,228	 		
Net position				
Net investment in capital assets	21,764,179	22,012,439		21,324,971
Restricted for debt service	-	-		50,992
Restricted for capital				
improvements to Pier 3	370,880	200,732		-
Unrestricted	 (1,993,233)	(2,638,077)		(1,793,080)
Total net position	\$ 20,141,826	\$ 19,575,094	\$	19,582,883

Condensed Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position

	2015	2014	2013
Operating revenues Operating expenses	\$ 9,158,445 (8,570,327)	\$ 7,993,787 (8,099,310)	\$ 7,796,563 (8,437,092)
Loss from operations	588,118	(105,523)	(640,529)
Non-operating revenues Non-operating expenses	 1,196,143 (1,098,883)	 778,109 (1,604,342)	 944,755 (740,710)
Net loss before capital grants	685,378	(931,756)	(436,484)
Capital grants	359,068	 923,967	 1,380,558
Change in net position	1,044,446	(7,789)	944,074
Net position, beginning of the year	19,575,094	19,582,883	20,556,482
Cumulative effect of implementing GASBS 68 Cumulative effect of correcting errors	 (477,714) <u>-</u>	 - -	 - (1,917,673)
Net position, end of the year	\$ 20,141,826	\$ 19,575,094	\$ 19,582,883

Analysis of the overall financial position and results of operations

Fiscal year-end June 30, 2015 included a number of continuing projects which were funded through grants received from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the Oregon Department of Transportation, including pier 2 restoration, and Runway 13-31 Overlay and Drainage. These projects, along with the completion of a significant remodeling project to the Pier 1 office building resulted in capital asset additions of \$1,217,285. See Note 7 for more information.

The Port did not issue any additional debt during fiscal year 2014 or 2015 and made standard repayments on the notes payable according to the terms of agreement of \$733,410 and \$992,402 for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2014 and 2015 respectively. During fiscal year 2015, Business Oregon forgave two Port liabilities totaling \$358,813 and this was recognized as grant revenue. However, long-term liabilities only decreased by a modest \$303,225 overall, mostly caused by an increase of the pollution remediation liability of \$333,145. See Note 11 and Note 17 for more information.

Operating revenues increased by \$1,164,658, which represents a 14.6% increase from the prior year. The increased revenues were primarily from lease and rental operations, pier revenue and increases to re-billed expenses. Operating expenses increased by \$471,017 which represents a 5.8% increase from fiscal year 2014. Personnel services increased \$177,537 due to the hiring of the CEO and increases to other staff during the year. Bad debt expense increased \$204,954, primarily caused by the write-off of uncollectible receivables from one significant tenant.

Non-operating revenues, consisting primarily of property taxes, timber tax receipts, interest income, and grants, increased \$418,034, or 53.7% during 2015. This was primarily the result of the forgiveness of two loans from Business Oregon totaling \$358,813.

Non-operating expenses primarily composed of pollution remediation expense and interest expense decreased by \$505,459, or 31.5% from the prior year. In both 2014 and 2015 the Port recorded pollution remediation expenses, however the current year expense was \$405,000 compared to the prior year expense of \$859,900. For more information see Note 17.

The current ratio (the ratio of current assets available to pay current liabilities) increased from the prior year from 1.03 to 1.40. This is mostly attributable to the Port's increased cash position and decrease in accounts payable. After the effect of implementing GASB 68, the Port's overall net position, as restated, increased by \$566,732, compared to the prior year overall net position decline of \$1,925,462 caused by the prior period adjustment.

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

Capital assets - The Port's investment in capital assets for its activities, as of June 30, 2015 was \$30,046,324 and for June 30, 2014, was \$30,683,779, net of accumulated depreciation. This investment in capital assets includes land, buildings, building improvements, infrastructure, machinery and equipment. The total decrease in capital assets for the current fiscal year was \$637,455 or approximately 2.1% based on June 30, 2014 capital asset balances. The decline is caused by depreciation expense that exceeded current year capital asset additions. The major capital events for the current fiscal year included Pier 2 restoration, airport runway predesign work, and tenant improvements performed on the Pier 1 office building. Additional information about the Port's capital assets is discussed in Note 7 of the financial statements.

Long-term obligations - The Port had long-term obligations totaling \$20,465,528, a decrease of \$732,271 from the prior year, the primary result of scheduled debt repayments. Additional information regarding the Port's long-term debt is discussed in Note 11 of the financial statements.

Description of currently known facts, decisions, or conditions - With the exception of the capital projects included in the current year budget, the Port has no projects planned that would materially affect current revenues. Those projects include ongoing grant funds through ConnectOregon V and the Federal Aviation Administration for Airport Runway 13-31 Overlay and Drainage.

The Port expects increased revenue from the loading of log ships during fiscal year ending June 30, 2016, and increases in rental income from various Port properties. Generally, operations are expected to continue to grow at a steady rate, but there are no anticipated changes in lines of business or issuance of new debt.

Requests for Information - This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Port of Astoria's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report should be directed to the Port Finance Manager, Port of Astoria, 10 Pier One, Suite 308, Astoria, Oregon, 97103.

	JUNE 30,			
ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		2015		2014
CURRENT ASSETS:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,194,376	\$	835,708
Cash and cash equivalents - restricted		370,880		200,732
Cash due from county treasurer		9,295		10,082
Accounts receivables, net		858,970		744,805
Property and other county taxes receivable		114,554		61,613
Current maturities, long-term receivable		391,519		352,206
Inventory		80,259		97,560
Prepaid expenses		283,840		222,351
Grants receivable		55,598		126,559
Total current assets		3,359,291		2,651,616
NONCURRENT ASSETS:				
Land and non-depreciable capital assets		2,937,160		4,061,082
Capital assets, net		27,109,164		26,622,697
Long-term receivables, less current maturities		8,477,061		8,868,580
Total noncurrent assets		38,523,385		39,552,359
Total assets		41,882,676		42,203,975
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:				
Deferred outflows of resources - pension contributions		146,999		-
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$	42,029,675	\$	42,203,975
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND NET POSITION				
CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Line of credit	\$	-	\$	2,354
Accounts payable		664,093		836,885
Accrued payroll and related expenses		18,355		30,364
Accrued interest payable		163,655		168,422
Unearned revenue		37,990		393,057
Long-term obligations, current portion		1,516,034		1,141,147
Total current liabilities		2,400,127		2,572,229
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES:		10.040.404		20.056.652
Long-term obligations, net of current portion		18,949,494		20,056,652
Total noncurrent liabilities		18,949,494		20,056,652
Total liabilities		21,349,621		22,628,881
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:				
Deferred inflows of resources - pension investment earnings		538,228		
NET POSITION:		21.764.170		22.012.420
Net investment in capital assets		21,764,179		22,012,439
Restricted for capital improvements to Pier 3 Unrestricted		370,880		200,732
		(1,993,233)		(2,638,077)
Total liabilities, deformed inflows of resources and not position	\$	20,141,826	ф	19,575,094
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and net position	D	42,029,675	\$	42,203,975

PORT OF ASTORIA STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION

	YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,		
	2015	2014	
OPERATING REVENUES:			
Lease and rental operations	\$ 3,098,359	\$ 2,895,082	
Fuel sales	1,278,628	1,319,617	
Re-billed expenses	1,629,926	1,350,371	
Pier revenue	1,789,323	1,401,666	
Marina revenue	812,183	698,515	
Finance charges	2,002	824	
Other income	548,024	327,712	
Total operating revenues	9,158,445	7,993,787	
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Materials and services	4,089,430	4,020,973	
Personnel services	2,367,168	2,189,631	
Depreciation	1,854,741	1,834,672	
Bad debt expense	258,988	54,034	
Total operating expenses	8,570,327	8,099,310	
Operating gain/(loss)	588,118	(105,523)	
NON-OPERATING INCOME (EXPENSE):			
Property taxes	658,375	641,821	
Interest income	786	1,286	
Grants	365,038	6,225	
Timber receipts	171,444	128,777	
Pollution remediation	(405,000)	(859,900)	
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets	500	(2,493)	
Interest expense	(693,883)	(741,949)	
Total non-operating income (expenses)	97,260	(826,233)	
Net gain/(loss) before capital grants	685,378	(931,756)	
CAPITAL GRANTS:			
Capital grants	359,068	923,967	
Changes in net position	1,044,446	(7,789)	
NET POSITION - BEGINNING OF YEAR AS PREVIOUSLY STATED	19,575,094	21,500,556	
CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF IMPLEMENTING GASB 68 (NOTE 3)	(477,714)	-	
CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF CORRECTING ERRORS (NOTE 4)		(1,917,672)	
NET POSITION - BEGINNING OF YEAR AS RESTATED	19,097,380	19,582,883	
NET POSITION, - END OF YEAR	\$ 20,141,826	\$ 19,575,094	
	=		

PORT OF ASTORIA STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,			
	2015	2014		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Receipts from customers and users	\$ 8,705,378	\$ 8,441,933		
Payments for personnel services	(2,717,064)	(2,243,134)		
Payment to suppliers	(4,309,174)	(4,327,170)		
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	1,679,140	1,871,629		
CASH FLOWS FROM NON-CAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Cash received from property taxes	605,434	657,253		
Cash received from timber tax revenue	171,444	128,777		
Repayments on line of credit, net	(2,354)	(141,321)		
Payments for pollution remediation	(71,855)	-		
Payments received on non-capital grant agreements	365,038	6,225		
Net cash provided (used) by non-capital financing activities	1,067,707	650,934		
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND				
RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Payments received on capital grant agreements	430,029	971,934		
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	(1,216,786)	(1,328,969)		
Principal payment on long term debt	(733,410)	(831,782)		
Interest paid on capital debt	(698,650)	(747,832)		
Net cash provided (used) by capital and related financing activities	(2,218,817)	(1,936,649)		
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Interest received on investment	786	1,286		
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	786	1,286		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	528,816	587,200		
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING	1,036,440	449,240		
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, ENDING	\$ 1,565,256	\$ 1,036,440		
CLASSIFIED ON THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AS:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,194,376	\$ 835,708		
Cash and cash equivalents - restricted	370,880	200,732		
1	\$ 1,565,256	\$ 1,036,440		

PORT OF ASTORIA STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)

	YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,			
	2015		2014	
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Operating gain (loss)	\$	588,118	\$	(105,523)
Adjustments				
Depreciation		1,854,741		1,834,672
Pension expense		(365,418)		-
Loans forgiven		(358,813)		-
Decrease (increase) in:				
Accounts receivables, net		(113,378)		187,950
Inventory		17,301		(19,635)
Prepaid expenses		(61,489)		(133,454)
Long-term receivables, less current maturities		352,206		314,230
Increase (decrease) in:				
Accounts payable		(172,792)		(103,484)
Accrued payroll and related expenses		27,965		(40,975)
Tenant rent payable		(77,840)		-
OPEB liability		(12,443)		(12,528)
Unearned revenue		3,746		(48,350)
Clatsop County assessment		(2,764)		(1,274)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	\$	1,679,140	\$	1,871,629
NON-CASH INVESTING, CAPITAL AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Debt forgiveness	\$	358,813		-

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and operation - The Port of Astoria (Port) is an Oregon Municipal corporation formed under ORS 777. It was formed by special election in 1910. The Port operations include cargo handling, dockage, marina and boat repair facilities. The Port is responsible for operating the airport and facilities surrounding the airport. The Port owns property that it leases to area businesses and individuals.

The financial statements of the Port have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the standard-setting body for governmental accounting and financial reporting. The GASB periodically updates its codification of the existing Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards which, along with the subsequent GASB pronouncements (Standards and Interpretations), constitutes GAAP for governmental units. The more significant of these accounting policies are described below.

Reporting entity - In evaluating how to define the government, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered the Port's financial reporting entity. The financial reporting entity consists of the Port, organizations for which the Port is financially accountable, and other organizations for which the Port is not accountable, but for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the Port are such that the exclusion would cause the Port's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. Component units are legally separate organizations for which the elected officials of the Port are financially accountable. In addition, component units can be other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the Port are such that the exclusion would cause the Port's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. Based on this criterion, the Port has no component units.

Basis of accounting - The financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. The Port maintains three individual funds for state legal compliance that are combined and reported as a unitary enterprise similar to a commercial entity organized for profit for financial reporting. Enterprise funds are used to account for operations: (a) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises, where the intent of the governing body is that the costs of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user fees; or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenue earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability, or other purposes.

The Port distinguishes operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with the Port's ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the Port include lease income from rental of Port property, dockage and wharfage revenue, fuel sales, marina fees, and tenant utility re-bills. Operating expenses include the cost of providing the services mentioned above, as well as administrative expenses. Revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

Use of resources - When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Port's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Cash and cash equivalents - For purposes of the statement cash flows, the Port considers cash and short-term investments with maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Port maintains merged bank accounts for its funds in a central pool of demand deposit bank accounts. Restricted cash are cash balances with externally-imposed restrictions.

Accounts receivable - Accounts receivable consist of rents due from tenants within the industrial parks, marinas, and the airport and charges due from ships using port services. The amounts are unsecured. These accounts are shown net of an allowance for doubtful accounts.

The Port provides an allowance for receivables if it believes it may not collect in full. It evaluates the collectability of its accounts based on a combination of factors. The Port's estimates of the recoverability of amounts due may change in the near term. The allowance for doubtful accounts as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 was \$300,551 and \$54,790, respectively.

Inventories - Inventories consist of fuel inventories held for resale at the marina and airport. Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, using the first-in/first-out (FIFO) method. The costs of inventories are recorded as expenses when used (consumption method).

Property taxes - The State of Oregon constitution and state statutes provide for several types of tax levies, all of which require voter approval before being levied. Included among such authorized levies are a permanent tax rate, which can result in a different levy amount each year as assessed valuations change, bonded debt levies which can be levied each year the related general obligation bonds mature, and local option levies for a voter-approved number of years.

The Port of Astoria levies a permanent tax rate property tax levy.

By July 15 of each year, the Port certifies its property tax levy to Clatsop County, Oregon. Clatsop County makes all assessments of property value and levies, collects, and distributes property taxes for all taxing districts within its boundaries. Assessments of property values are as of July 1 of each year, and the taxes levied are a lien on the properties as of July 1 of the year levied.

Taxes are payable in three installments on November 15, February 15, and May 15 following the levy date and become delinquent May 15. The County pools tax collections and makes distributions to taxing districts according to their pro-rata share of the total levy each fiscal year which collections are received.

Property tax revenue is recognized on the accrual basis of accounting. Property taxes levied during the current year are recorded as revenue, and any amounts uncollected at year-end are recorded as a current asset.

Capital assets - Purchased or constructed capital assets, including property, plant and equipment, and infrastructure (roadways, piers, drainage systems, etc.), are reported at cost or estimated historical cost. The Port defines capital assets as assets with an initial cost of more than \$5,000 and an estimated life in excess of one year.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Capital assets (Continued) - Additions or improvements that significantly extend the useful life of an asset, or that significantly increase the capacity of an asset are capitalized. Donated assets are recorded at their fair market value at the date of donation. Maintenance and repairs of a routine nature are charged to expenses as incurred and are not capitalized.

Interest is capitalized on assets acquired with tax-exempt and non-tax-exempt debt. The amount of interest to be capitalized is calculated by offsetting interest expense incurred from the date of the borrowing until completion of the project with interest earned on invested proceeds over the same period for tax-exempt debt. For tax exempt debt, interest is capitalized through the completion of the project.

Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Land improvments	10 to 50 years
Buildings and structures	10 to 50 years
Equipment and vehicles	5 to 40 years
Furniture and fixtures	3 to 20 years

Unearned Tenant Improvements - On occasion, Port tenants perform capital improvements to Port property as a condition of the lease rental agreement. In exchange for these improvements, the Port has granted lease rental credits to cover all or a portion of the capital improvement. The Port has recorded capital assets for these improvements and tenant rent payable for the amount due to tenants through the rental credits. The payable is amortized over the life of the lease.

Unused compensated absences - It is the Port's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation and sick pay benefits. Accumulated vacation leave and sick pay is recorded as an expense and liability when earned by each employee. A portion of the balance of compensated absences generally utilized within one year is reported in the Statement of Net Position as current. The remaining balance is classified as long-term.

Pollution remediation obligations - The Port records future pollution remediation costs that meet measurement criteria outlined in GASB Statement No. 49, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pollution Remediation Obligations*. Under this accounting standard, when the Port determines a pollution remediation obligation exists and management is able to make a reasonable and supportable estimation of expected outlays, a long-term liability is recorded. Pollution remediation costs are reported in the *Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position* as a non-operating expense (or as revenues for recoveries received after all remediation activities have been completed).

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Pensions - For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Oregon Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) and additions to/deductions from OPERS's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by OPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Other post-employment benefits ("OPEB") obligations - The Port's net OPEB Obligation is recognized as a liability and the annual OPEB cost is expensed, as determined by the Port's actuary.

Deferred Inflows and Outflows of Resources - In addition to assets, the statement of financial position reports a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that apply to a future period and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that apply to a future period and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until then.

Net position - The Port's net position is classified as follows:

- Net Investment in capital assets. This represents the Port's total investment in capital assets, net
 of outstanding debt obligations related to those capital assets. Debt proceeds that have been
 received for capital assets but not yet expended are not included within this component of net
 position.
- Restricted for capital improvements. This represents assets that have externally-imposed restrictions reduced by liabilities related to those assets.
- *Unrestricted*. Resources not included in other classifications are unrestricted.

Revenue recognition - The Port recognizes revenue from dockage, wharfage and utility re-bills as the services are provided. The Port recognizes property management income as the lease periods mature. Any assets, liabilities, expenses and revenues created as a result of non-exchange transactions are recognized when all the significant eligibility requirements have been met. A non-exchange transaction occurs when a government receives (or gives) value without directly giving (or receiving) equal value in return.

Use of estimates - Preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These assumptions and estimates affect the amounts and disclosures in the accompanying financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2 - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Budgets and budgetary accounting - The Port is required by Oregon State Law to adopt an annual appropriated budget. The budgetary fiscal period coincides with the annual reporting period (July 1 through June 30). Appropriated budgets are adopted by the executive body and, accordingly, used as a management control device for all funds.

The Port prepared its budget using the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is an acceptable basis of accounting. The Port includes capital outlay and debt services as expenditures for budgetary purposes.

Original appropriations may be increased or decreased, through resolutions, by transferring amounts between appropriations in the same fund or by transferring from an appropriation in the General Fund to an appropriation in another fund, or they may be increased through the adoption of a supplemental budget. By state law, budget appropriations lapse at year-end.

The Port adopts its budget by the following object classifications within each fund: personal services, material and services, capital outlay, debt service, transfers to other funds, and contingency.

The Port's actual expenditures were within budgeted amounts for the year ended June 30, 2015, with the following exceptions:

General Fund

Materials and services	\$576,002
Personnel services	22,332
Debt service	32,321
Contingency	252,988

NOTE 3 - RESTATEMENT OF NET POSITION DUE TO NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARD

Adoption of new GASB pronouncement - In June 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. This statement provides guidance for accounting for net pension liabilities, including definition of balances to be included in deferred inflows and deferred outflows of resources. The specific accounts impacting the Port are detailed below.

Net pension liability – Previous standards defined pension liabilities in terms of the Annually Required Contribution. Statement No. 68 defines the net pension liability as the portion of the actuarial present value of projected benefit payments that is attributed to past periods of employee service, net of the pension plan's fiduciary net position.

Deferred inflows of resources and deferred outflows of resources – Statement No. 68 includes recognition of deferred inflows and outflows of resources. These differences are to be recognized in pension expense using a systematic and rational method over a closed five-year period.

NOTE 3 - RESTATEMENT OF NET POSITION DUE TO NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARD (Continued)

Statement No. 68 is effective for financial statement periods beginning after June 15, 2014, with the effects of accounting change to be applied retroactively by restating the financial statements. The Port adopted this new pronouncement in the current year and, accordingly, has restated amounts of effected balances within the financial statements as of June 30, 2014:

	A	s Originally Reported	A	s Restated	Effect of Change
Statement of Net Position Deferred outflow of resources:		·			
Payments made to OPERS since the measurement date	\$	-	\$	150,259	\$ 150,259
Noncurrent liabilities: - Net pension liability		-		(627,973)	(627,973)
Net position	\$	19,575,094	\$	19,097,380	\$ (477,714)

NOTE 4 - RESTATEMENT DUE TO CORRECTION OF AN ERROR

During the preparation of the financial statements as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, several errors were noted that effected prior periods resulting in a required adjustment and restatement of net position as of June 30, 2013. The errors span several prior years, and the amounts attributed to each year have not been individually determined. Following is a summary of each of the adjustments and their impact on the financial statements.

Pollution Remediation Obligation - The Port was aware of pollution remediation obligations, which were not reported in its financial statements as of June 30, 2013. The Port estimates their portion of pollution remediation obligation, net of recoveries, was \$1,800,000 as of June 30, 2013. This results in reducing net position by \$1,800,000 as of June 30, 2013.

Capital Asset Dispositions - A comprehensive review of the Port's capital assets revealed equipment, building improvements, and furniture and fixtures that were no longer owned or operated by the Port. As a result, capital assets decreased \$1,025,742, with the associated accumulated depreciation decreasing \$932,655. The adjustment results in reducing net position by \$93,087 as of June 30, 2013.

NOTE 4 - RESTATEMENT DUE TO CORRECTION OF AN ERROR (Continued)

Tenant Improvements - In 2012 and 2013 the Port entered into agreements with tenants whereby the tenant performed and financed capital improvements to Port property. In exchange, the Port offered rental credits to fully or partially reimburse the tenant for costs incurred. The Port did not report these assets and liabilities in its financial statements. As a result of these transactions, capital assets increased \$613,492 and unearned tenant improvements increased in the same amount. Additionally, accumulated depreciation on these assets in prior years was \$122,674, as well as a reduction of \$103,990 to unearned tenant improvements. The net adjustment results in reducing net position by \$18,684 as of June 30, 2013.

Note Payable - During review of note payable balances it was determined the balance owed to US Bank for the SeaLift Flex 2011A note was understated by \$11,367. The adjustment results in reducing net position by this amount as of June 30, 2013.

Long-term receivable - In review of the direct financing lease arrangement with a Port tenant, management noted that in a prior year, the Port elected to use proceeds from a qualifying energy efficiency project to offset the final lease payment. This resulted in a decrease in the long-term receivable and reducing net position by \$108,760 as of June 30, 2013.

Compensated absences payable - During review of existing Port policies, management found that outstanding liabilities recorded to cover accrued sick leave was incorrectly calculated. This resulted in increasing compensated absences payable and reducing net position by \$86,822 as of June 30, 2013.

Revenue and expense cutoff - During review of fiscal year 2014 activity, management noted several instances in which revenues or expenses were recorded in the incorrect period. Some transactions recorded in fiscal year 2013 should have been recorded in fiscal year 2014 and vice versa. These transactions impacted various revenue and expense accounts, and several balance sheet accounts including accounts receivable, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, accrued payroll, and unearned revenue. In total, these adjustments result in increasing net position by \$201,047 as of June 30, 2013.

NOTE 4 - RESTATEMENT DUE TO CORRECTION OF AN ERROR (Continued)

A summary of the prior period adjustments by account classification is as follows:

	As Previously Reported June 30, 2013	Restated June 30, 2013	Correction Amount
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 568,390	\$ 932,755	\$ 364,365
Prepaid expenses	\$ 112,861	\$ 88,897	\$ (23,964)
Capital assets being depreciated, net			
Land improvements	\$ 33,117,384	\$ 33,179,799	\$ 62,415
Buildings and structures	11,625,263	11,837,338	212,075
Tongue Point	16,538	16,538	-
Airport property	5,734,087	5,893,287	159,200
Leasehold improvements	56,167	56,167	-
Intangibles	17,791	17,791	-
Machine and equipment	1,298,736	1,078,121	(220,615)
Dredge and marine equipment	1,353,806	902,548	(451,258)
Vehicles and boats	72,690	72,690	-
Furniture and fixtures	432,887	258,821	(174,066)
Computers and equipment	111,557	111,557	_
Total capital assets being depreciated	53,836,906	53,424,657	(412,249)
Less: accumulated depreciation	(28,060,030)	(27,250,050)	809,980
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	\$ 25,776,876	\$ 26,174,607	\$ 397,731

NOTE 4 - RESTATEMENT DUE TO CORRECTION OF AN ERROR (Continued)

A summary of the prior period adjustment by account classification is as follows (Continued):

	As Previously Reported June 30, 2013	Restated June 30, 2013	Correction Amount
Long-term receivables, less current maturities	\$ 9,299,058	\$ 9,190,298	\$ (108,760)
Accounts payable	\$ 826,152	\$ 940,369	\$ 114,217
Accrued payroll and related expenses	\$ 23,880	\$ 36,184	\$ 12,304
Unearned revenue	\$ 191,561	\$ 204,394	\$ 12,833
Long-term debt obligations, net of current portion Notes payable Unearned tenant improvements Compensated absences Other postemployment benefits Pollution remediation obligation, net Assessment obligations Total long-term debt obligations, net of current portion	\$ 17,885,909 - - 20,642 - 19,407 \$ 17,925,958	\$ 17,897,276 509,502 86,822 20,642 1,800,000 19,407 \$ 20,333,649	\$ 11,367 509,502 86,822 - 1,800,000 - \$ 2,407,691
Net position Net investment in capital assets Restricted for capital improvements to Pier 3 Unrestricted Total net position	\$ 21,448,110 50,992 1,454 \$ 21,500,556	\$ 21,324,971 50,992 (1,793,080) \$ 19,582,883	\$ (123,139) - (1,794,534) \$ (1,917,673)

NOTE 5 - CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Total cash and cash equivalents, as presented in the statements of net position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	 2015		2014		
Cash on hand Bank deposits Money market accounts	\$ \$ 595 1,317,035 247,626		595 788,219 247,626		
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,565,256	\$	1,036,440		

NOTE 5 - CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (Continued)

A summary of cash and cash equivalents, by type is as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents - restricted	\$ 1,194,376 370,880	\$	835,708 200,732
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,565,256	\$	1,036,440

The Port is restricted by State of Oregon statutes in the types of investments in which it may invest. Authorized investments include general obligations of the United States Government and its agencies, certain bonded obligations of municipalities, certain certificates of deposits and savings accounts, and other demand deposit accounts.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk of exposure to fair value losses resulting from rising interest rates. The Port does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. However, the Port has minimal interest rate risks because all of its deposits are held in demand accounts with banks.

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of the failure of a counterparty, the Port would not be able to recover the value of its deposits and investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Port to custodial risk consist primarily of bank demand deposits. In order to minimize this risk, state statutes require banks holding public funds become members of the Public Funds Collateralization Program (PFCP), a multiple financial institution collateral pool created by the Office of the State Treasurer. To qualify, participating banks must pledge collateral against any public fund deposits in excess of deposit insurance. The amount of collateral is set by the PFCP between 10% and 110% of each bank's public fund deposits based on their net worth and level of capitalization. Although the PFCP creates a shared liability structure for participating bank depositories, it does not guarantee that all funds are 100% protected.

As required by ORS, deposits in excess of federal depository insurance were held at qualified depositories for public funds. All qualified depositories for public funds are included in the multiple financial institution collateral pool that is maintained by and in the name of the office of the State Treasurer. The Port had deposits of \$1,577,649 and \$786,440 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, that exceeded FDIC insurance, however this risk is mitigated by coverage through the PFCP.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Port does not have a policy to limit the amount that may be invested in any one issuer. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, 100%, of its deposits were held in multiple deposit and money market accounts, with one bank.

NOTE 6 - PROPERTY TAX

The Port levied property taxes for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 as follows:

	2015			2014		
Total levy	\$	673,282	\$	655,372		
Summary of property taxes receivable:						
General Fund						
Current levy		24,753		26,787		
Prior levies		28,373		34,826		
Total general fund	\$	53,126	\$	61,613		

NOTE 7 - CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity and balances consist of the following for the year ended June 30, 2015:

	Ending Balance 6/30/14	Additions	Deletions	Transfers	Ending Balance 6/30/15	
Capital assets, non-depreciable:						
Land	\$ 2,584,837	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,584,837	
Construction in Progress	1,476,245	258,938		(1,382,860)	352,323	
Total capital assets, non-depreciable	4,061,082	258,938		(1,382,860)	2,937,160	
Capital assets, depreciable:						
Land Improvements	35,077,955	428,514	(138,971)	1,365,150	36,732,648	
Buildings & Structures	11,841,352	524,483	- 1	17,710	12,383,545	
Tongue Point	16,538	5,350	-	-	21,888	
Airport Property	5,893,287	-	-	-	5,893,287	
Leasehold Improvements	56,167	-	-	-	56,167	
Intangibles	17,791	-	-	-	17,791	
Machinery & Equipment	1,078,121	-	(28,404)	-	1,049,717	
Dredge & Marine Equipment	902,548	-	(2,300)	-	900,248	
Vehicles & Boats	441,525	-	-	-	441,525	
Furniture & Fixtures	258,821	-	(7,727)	-	251,094	
Computer & Equipment	111,557				111,557	
Total capital assets, depreciable	55,695,662	958,347	(177,402)	1,382,860	57,859,467	
Less: accumulated depreciation	(29,072,965)	(1,854,740)	177,402		(30,750,303)	
Net depreciable capital assets	26,622,697	(896,393)		1,382,860	27,109,164	
Net capital assets	\$ 30,683,779	\$ (637,455)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,046,324	

NOTE 7 - CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

	Restated Balance 6/30/13 Additions		Deletions Transfers		Ending Balanc 6/30/14					
Capital assets not being depreciated:										
Land	\$	2,584,837	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,584,837
Construction in progress		2,432,532		926,736		-	(1,	383,023)		1,476,245
Total capital assets not being depreciated		5,017,369		926,736		-	(1,	383,023)		4,061,082
Capital assets being depreciated:										
Land improvements		33,179,799		15,133		_	1.8	383,023		35,077,955
Buildings and structures		11,837,338		18,265	(14	,251)	-,	-		11,841,352
Tongue Point		16,538		-		-		-		16,538
Airport property		5,893,287		-		-		-		5,893,287
Leasehold improvements		56,167		-		-		-		56,167
Intangibles		17,791		-		-		-		17,791
Machinery and equipment		1,078,121		-		-		-		1,078,121
Dredge and marine equipment		902,548		-		-		-		902,548
Vehicles and boats		72,690		368,835		-		-		441,525
Furniture and fixtures		258,821		-		-		-		258,821
Computer and equipment		111,557		-		-		-		111,557
Total capital assets being depreciated		53,424,657		402,233	(14	,251)	1,8	383,023	_	55,695,662
Less: accumulated depreciation		(27,250,050)	<u>(</u> 2	1,834,672)	11	,757				(29,072,965)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net		26,174,607	(2	1,432,439)	(2	,494)	1,8	383,023		26,622,697
Total capital assets, net	\$	31,191,976	\$	(505,703)	\$ (2	,494)	\$		\$	30,683,779

Construction in progress consists of pier restoration, airport improvements, and building remodel costs. Capital projects are financed by a combination of debt, grants and internal resources.

NOTE 8 - LONG-TERM RECEIVABLE

The long-term receivable at June 30, 2015 consists of the following:

		Current	Long-term		
Net investment in direct financing lease (Note 8) Tenant land lease		384,019 7,500	\$ 8,363,936 113,125		
Total long-term receivable	\$	391,519	\$ 8,477,061		

NOTE 8 - LONG-TERM RECEIVABLE (Continued)

The long-term receivable at June 30, 2014 consists of the following:

	Current	Long-term
Net investment in direct financing lease (Note 9) Tenant land lease Tenant long-term receivable	\$ 344,218 7,500 488	\$ 8,747,955 120,625
Total long-term receivable	\$ 352,206	\$ 8,868,580

NOTE 9 - LEASES

Operating leases - The Port leases several facilities to various individuals and businesses. These facilities include airport hangar space, marina slips, buildings, parcels of land, and pier and mooring space, among others. The cost and carrying amounts for these assets are included in the Port's Capital Assets (Note 7). Rent agreements vary from month-to-month to 22 years.

The minimum future lease payments to be received as of June 30, 2015, were as follows:

2,194,429
1,984,924
1,815,697
1,765,405
1,519,697
9,511,367
18,791,519

Direct financing lease - The Port entered into a commercial lease agreement in 2005 to construct and lease a seafood processing facility. Financing for construction of the facility was provided by the Oregon Business Development Department (State Financing). The rent commencement date under the lease agreement was July 1, 2006.

NOTE 9 - LEASES (Continued)

The minimum rental payments under the agreement call for monthly installments equal to the annual debt service on the state financing. In February 2010, the Port elected to use proceeds from a qualifying energy efficiency project performed at the facility to offset the final lease payment at the end of the state financing.

The following lists the components of the net investment in the Port's direct financing lease as of June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	June 30, 2015		Ju	ne 30, 2014
Minimum lease payments receivable	\$	11,599,331	\$	12,261,332
Less unearned income		(2,742,616)		(3,060,399)
Less applicable credits		(108,760)		(108,760)
Net investment in direct financing lease		8,747,955		9,092,173
Less current maturities		(384,019)		(344,218)
Long-term portion	\$	8,363,936	\$	8,747,955

As of June 30, 2015 minimum lease payments for the next five years are as follows:

Year Ended		
2016	:	\$ 384,019
2017		397,637
2018		411,738
2019		426,339
2020		471,087
Thereafter		6,657,135
Total minimum		
payments required	_ :	\$ 8,747,955

NOTE 10 – LINE OF CREDIT

The Port entered into an agreement with a bank to obtain a \$150,000 operating line of credit. The line of credit requires interest at the greater of prime or 5.50%, which at June 30, 2015 and 2014 was 5.50%. The line of credit is secured by prior executed separate instruments and matures in July 2015. The line of credit was not renewed. The following is a summary of short-term borrowing activities for 2015:

_	lance 30, 2014	Adv	vances	Rep	ayments	alance 30, 2015
\$	2,354	\$	-	\$	2,354	\$ -

NOTE 11 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

Notes payable - The Port has 11 loans with the Oregon Business Development Department (OBDD) and the Special Public Works Fund (SPWF) of the State of Oregon. The loans were obtained to make various improvements to the Port's marine and airport facilities. Interest rates and maturity dates vary from 2.49% to 7.0% and 6 to 18 years. The total amount outstanding as of June 30, 2015 and 2014 is \$15,266,863 and \$15,931,846 respectively. The current portion of these outstanding notes is \$722,976, payable in quarterly or annual installments. Port real property is pledged as security.

A note payable to the Clatsop Community Bank with an original face value of \$1,700,000 for the purchase of Pier 1 office building. The note is collateralized by the Pier 1 office building. The interest and principal payments are due in monthly installments of \$12,020 with a balloon payment of 1,080,573 due at maturity on June 14, 2025. The note has a variable interest rate set at prime rate, plus 2.5%. The interest rate was 7.00% as of June 30, 2015 and 2014. Pursuant to the note agreement, the Port is required to maintain a 1.10 to 1.0 debt service coverage ratio, and issue audited financial statements no later than 180 days after fiscal year-end. The Port met the debt service coverage ratio as of June 30, 2015 and 2014.

A note payable to US Bank with an original face value of \$155,000 to purchase equipment. Annual interest and principal payments are due in annual installments ranging from 4.8% to 5.5% and \$15,000 to \$20,000, respectively, until the notes mature on January 1, 2019.

A note payable to US Bank with an original face value of \$200,000 to purchase equipment. Annual interest and principal payments are due in annual installments ranging from 3.2% to 4.75% and \$5,000 to \$20,000, respectively, until the notes mature on January 1, 2017.

A note payable to the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) with an original face value of \$300,000 for pier improvements. The principal payments are due in annual installments of \$15,000 and matures on January 1, 2029. There is no interest component on the note.

NOTE 11 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Following is a summary of changes in long-term obligations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015:

	Balance 6/30/14	Additions Reductions		Ending Balance 6/30/15	Due Within One Year
Notes payable	\$ 17,872,272	\$ -	\$ 733,410	\$ 17,138,862	\$ 808,660
Tenant rent payables:	433,109		77,840	355,269	78,671
Compensated absences: Accrued vacation	58,735	15,977	-	74,712	74,712
Accrued sick	145,341	23,997		169,338	26,586
Total compensated absences	204,076	39,974		244,050	101,298
Pollution remediation obligation, net (Note 17)	2,659,900	405,000	71,855	2,993,045	525,000
Other postemployment benefits liability (asset)	8,114		12,443	(4,329)	
Net pension liability (asset)			278,933	(278,933)	
Assessment Obligations: Clatsop County assessment	20,328		2,764	17,564	2,405
Total long-term debt obligations	\$ 21,197,799	\$ 444,974	\$ 1,177,245	\$ 20,465,528	\$ 1,516,034

Following is a summary of changes in long-term debt obligations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014:

	Restated Balance 6/30/13	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance 6/30/14	Due Within One Year
Notes payable	\$ 18,864,674	\$ -	\$ 992,402	\$ 17,872,272	\$ 980,301
Unearned tenant improvements	509,502		76,393	433,109	77,812
Compensated absences: Accrued vacation Accrued sick Total compensated absences	65,588 173,644 239,232	- - -	6,853 28,303 35,156	58,735 145,341 204,076	58,735 21,946 80,681
Pollution remediation obligation, net (Note 17)	1,800,000	859,900		2,659,900	
Other postemployment benefits	20,642		12,528	8,114	
Clatsop County sssessment	21,602		1,274	20,328	2,353
Total long-term debt obligations	\$ 21,455,652	\$ 859,900	\$ 1,117,753	\$ 21,197,799	\$ 1,141,147

NOTE 11 - LONG-TERM DEBT OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for note payable are as follows:

		Bornstein Bui	ldings	Cons't	Lektro Building Expansion		West basin breakwater II				West basin breakwater						
Fiscal Year		Principal		Interest		rincipal	I	nterest		Principal	I	Interest		Principal		Interest	
					_								_				
2016	\$	384,019	\$	304,981	\$	100,772	\$	47,884	\$	89,613	\$	71,566	\$	36,581	\$	30,352	
2017	4	397,637	4	291,363	Ψ.	103,281	4	45,375	Ψ.	96,457	Ψ.	67,981	4	41,894	Ψ.	28,615	
2018		411,738		277,262		105,853		42,803		102,478		64,026		42,221		26,625	
2019		426,339		262,661		103,033		40,167		102,476		59,722		42,571		24,577	
2020																	
		471,087		247,413		111,190		37,466		114,751		55,054		47,942		22,491	
2021-25		2,812,154		959,846		639,923		143,389		604,437		195,078		276,036		74,579	
2026-30		3,697,980		396,520		753,533		57,250		481,829		56,790		126,798		9,648	
2031-35		255,763		2,568		-		-		-		-		-		-	
2036-40		-				-				-					_		
	\$	8,856,717	\$	2,742,614	\$	1,923,041	\$	414,334	\$	1,598,139	\$	570,217	\$	614,043	\$	216,887	
		Lektro hange	er exn	ansion		West bas	in flo	ats	W	est basin in	nrov	rements	Air	ort water	·line/	fuel tank	
Fiscal Year		Principal	_	Interest	Principal			Interest		Principal		Interest		Principal		Interest	
riscai reai		Типстрат		interest		Tincipai		interest		Tilicipai		interest		incipai		interest	
2016	\$	25,889	\$	32,618	\$	23,033	\$	24,407	\$	18,738	\$	11,128	\$	9,947	\$	10,858	
2017		27,152		46,541		24,097		23,343		19,862		10,003		10,417		10,388	
2018		28,476		30,031		25,210		22,230		21,054		8,811		10,908		9,897	
2019		29,865		28,643		26,375		21,065		22,317		7,548		11,423		9,382	
2020		31,321		27,186		27,593		19,847		23,656		6,209		11,962		8,843	
2021-25		181,062		111,475		158,309		78,891		79,831		9,766		68,834		35,191	
2026-30		229,732		62,804		198,417		38,783		-		-,		86,686		17,339	
2031-35		137,005		9,189		45,260		2,091		_		_		19,859		937	
2036-40		137,003		,,107		15,200		2,071		_		_		17,007		-	
2030-40																	
	\$	690,502	\$	348,487	\$	528,294	\$	230,657	\$	185,458	\$	53,465	\$	230,036	\$	102,835	
		Airport T	-hano	erc	L	ektro electr	ical u	ngrade		Connect	II Pie	r 2	Fl	ex (equipn	nent)	2008 G	
Fiscal Year	Principal Interest		Lektro electrical up:		nterest			Interest		Principal		Interest					
riscar rear		Timerpur		interest		Timerpur		increst		тистрат		nterest		rincipar		increst	
2016	\$	16,745	\$	17,260	\$	9,035	\$	4,309	\$	15,000	\$	-	\$	15,000	\$	3,683	
2017		17,432		16,574		9,685		3,660		15,000		-		15,000		2,933	
2018		18,147		15,859		10,380		2,964		15,000		-		20,000		2,160	
2019		18,891		15,114		11,126		2,218		15,000		-		20,000		1,100	
2020		19,666		14,339		15,039		1,641		15,000				· -		· -	
2021-25		111,112		58,915		9,627		338		75,000		_					
2026-30		135,847		34,181		-,		-		60,000		_		_		_	
2031-35		95,602		6,393		_		_		-		_		_		_	
2036-40		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
	\$	433,442	\$	178,635	\$	64,892	\$	15,130	\$	210,000	\$	-	\$	70,000	\$	9,876	

NOTE 11 - LONG-TERM DEBT OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

	Pier 1 B	uildin	ıg	T-Hanger #E			E	SeaLift Flex 2011 A				
ar Principal Interes		Interest	Principal			nterest	P	rincipal	Interest			
¢	25 (04	ф	100 556	ď	0.604	¢	0.054	¢.	20.000	¢	065	
Э	•	Þ	•	>	-,	Þ	•	Э	-,	\$	865	
	38,264		105,976		9,091		7,567		5,000		185	
	41,030		103,210		9,605		7,053		-		-	
	43,996		100,244		10,149		6,509		-		-	
	39,083		81,117		10,723		5,934		-		-	
	1,368,945		444,903		63,438		19,851		-		-	
	-		-		30,686		2,629		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-		-	
			-		-		-		-		-	
\$	1,567,002	\$	944,006	\$	142,296	\$	57,597	\$	25,000	\$	1,050	
	\$	\$ 35,684 38,264 41,030 43,996 39,083 1,368,945 -	\$ 35,684 \$ 38,264 41,030 43,996 39,083 1,368,945	\$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 38,264 105,976 41,030 103,210 43,996 100,244 39,083 81,117 1,368,945 444,903 	Principal Interest F \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 38,264 105,976 \$ 41,030 103,210 \$ 43,996 100,244 \$ 39,083 81,117 \$ 1,368,945 444,903 \$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>Principal Interest Principal \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 38,264 105,976 9,091 41,030 103,210 9,605 43,996 100,244 10,149 39,083 81,117 10,723 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 - - 30,686 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -</td><td>Principal Interest Principal I \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 \$ 38,264 105,976 9,091 9,091 41,030 103,210 9,605 43,996 100,244 10,149 39,083 81,117 10,723 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 -</td><td>Principal Interest Principal Interest \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 \$ 8,054 38,264 105,976 9,091 7,567 41,030 103,210 9,605 7,053 43,996 100,244 10,149 6,509 39,083 81,117 10,723 5,934 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 19,851 - - 30,686 2,629 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -</td><td>Principal Interest Principal Interest P \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 \$ 8,054 \$ 38,264 \$ 105,976 9,091 7,567 41,030 103,210 9,605 7,053 43,996 100,244 10,149 6,509 39,083 81,117 10,723 5,934 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 19,851 - <td< td=""><td>Principal Interest Principal Interest Principal \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 \$ 8,054 \$ 20,000 38,264 105,976 9,091 7,567 5,000 41,030 103,210 9,605 7,053 - 43,996 100,244 10,149 6,509 - 39,083 81,117 10,723 5,934 - 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 19,851 - - - 30,686 2,629 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -</td><td>Principal Interest Principal Interest 20,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$</td></td<></td></t<>	Principal Interest Principal \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 38,264 105,976 9,091 41,030 103,210 9,605 43,996 100,244 10,149 39,083 81,117 10,723 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 - - 30,686 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Principal Interest Principal I \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 \$ 38,264 105,976 9,091 9,091 41,030 103,210 9,605 43,996 100,244 10,149 39,083 81,117 10,723 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 -	Principal Interest Principal Interest \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 \$ 8,054 38,264 105,976 9,091 7,567 41,030 103,210 9,605 7,053 43,996 100,244 10,149 6,509 39,083 81,117 10,723 5,934 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 19,851 - - 30,686 2,629 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Principal Interest Principal Interest P \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 \$ 8,054 \$ 38,264 \$ 105,976 9,091 7,567 41,030 103,210 9,605 7,053 43,996 100,244 10,149 6,509 39,083 81,117 10,723 5,934 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 19,851 - <td< td=""><td>Principal Interest Principal Interest Principal \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 \$ 8,054 \$ 20,000 38,264 105,976 9,091 7,567 5,000 41,030 103,210 9,605 7,053 - 43,996 100,244 10,149 6,509 - 39,083 81,117 10,723 5,934 - 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 19,851 - - - 30,686 2,629 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -</td><td>Principal Interest Principal Interest 20,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$</td></td<>	Principal Interest Principal Interest Principal \$ 35,684 \$ 108,556 \$ 8,604 \$ 8,054 \$ 20,000 38,264 105,976 9,091 7,567 5,000 41,030 103,210 9,605 7,053 - 43,996 100,244 10,149 6,509 - 39,083 81,117 10,723 5,934 - 1,368,945 444,903 63,438 19,851 - - - 30,686 2,629 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Principal Interest 20,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 \$	

Assessment obligation - Clatsop County settled a property tax dispute with Georgia Pacific-Wauna Mill on behalf of all the taxing districts within the County during the fiscal year 2011-2012. The intergovernmental agreement previously entered into by the taxing district was to issue bonds to pay the settlement, if needed. The county issued \$2,550,000 of bonds payables annually at 2.18% over ten years. As included in the intergovernmental agreement the annual principal and interest payment will be taken from the first annual tax collection turnover. Port of Astoria's proportionate share of the original obligation was \$24,058.

Port of Astoria's obligation as of June 30, 2015 is \$17,563 maturing as follows:

	Property Tax Assessment							
	Pı	rincipal	Interest					
2016	\$	2,405	\$	392				
2017		2,457		339				
2018		2,511		286				
2019		2,565		231				
2020		2,621		175				
Thereafter		5,005		178				
	\$	17,564	\$	1,601				

NOTE 12 - COMMITMENTS

The Port leases various parcels of submerged and submersible lands claimed by the State of Oregon. These properties include the West and East End Mooring Basins. Lease payments made to the State for the year ended June 30, 2015 were \$294,507 and June 30, 2014 were \$259,862. Lease payments are determined by the state annually, and minimum rentals are not specified.

NOTE 12 - COMMITMENTS (Continued)

The Port has commitments under various contracts amounting to approximately \$1,012,000 related to engineering design services for airport improvements. As of June 30, 2015, approximately \$726,000 of these contracts remain outstanding. The Port intends to complete these projects through capital grants.

NOTE 13 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

General Information about the Pension Plan

The Oregon Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit plan.

Employees of the Port are provided with pensions through OPERS. All the benefits of OPERS are established by the Oregon legislature pursuant to Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) Chapters 238 and 238A. OPERS issues a publicly available financial report that can be obtained at http://www.oregon.gov/pers/Pages/section/financial reports/financials.aspx.

Benefits provided under Chapter 238-Tier One / Tier Two

1. Pension Benefits. The OPERS retirement allowance is payable monthly for life. It may be selected from 13 retirement benefit options. These options include survivorship benefits and lump-sum refunds. The basic benefit is based on years of service and final average salary. A percentage (1.67 percent for general service employees) is multiplied by the number of years of service and the final average salary. Benefits may also be calculated under either a formula plus annuity (for members who were contributing before August 21, 1981) or a money match computation if a greater benefit results.

A member is considered vested and will be eligible at minimum retirement age for a service retirement allowance if he or she has had a contribution in each of five calendar years or has reached at least 50 years of age before ceasing employment with a participating employer. General service employees may retire after reaching age 55. Tier One general service employee benefits are reduced if retirement occurs prior to age 58 with fewer than 30 years of service. Tier Two members are eligible for full benefits at age 60. The ORS Chapter 238 Defined Benefit Pension Plan is closed to new members hired on or after August 29, 2003.

- 2. *Death Benefits.* Upon the death of a non-retired member, the beneficiary receives a lump-sum refund of the member's account balance (accumulated contributions and interest). In addition, the beneficiary will receive a lump-sum payment from employer funds equal to the account balance, provided one or more of the following conditions are met:
 - Member was employed by a OPERS employer at the time of death,
 - Member died within 120 days after termination of OPERS-covered employment,
 - Member died as a result of injury sustained while employed in a OPERS-covered job, or
 - Member was on an official leave of absence from a OPERS-covered job at the time of death.

NOTE 13 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

- 3. Disability Benefits. A member with 10 or more years of creditable service who becomes disabled from other than duty-connected causes may receive a non-duty disability benefit. A disability resulting from a job-incurred injury or illness qualifies a member (including OPERS judge members) for disability benefits regardless of the length of OPERS-covered service. Upon qualifying for either a non-duty or duty disability, service time is computed to age 58 when determining the monthly benefit.
- 4. Benefit Changes After Retirement. Members may choose to continue participation in a variable equities investment account after retiring and may experience annual benefit fluctuations due to changes in the market value of equity investments.

Under ORS 238.360 monthly benefits are adjusted annually through cost-of-living changes. Under current law, the cap on the COLA in fiscal year 2015 and beyond will vary based on 1.25 percent on the first \$60,000 of annual benefit and 0.15 percent on annual benefits above \$60,000.

Benefits provided under Chapter 238A-OPSRP Pension Program (OPSRP DB).

1. *Pension Benefits.* The ORS 238A Defined Benefit Pension Program provides benefits to members hired on or after August 29, 2003.

This portion of the OPSRP provides a life pension funded by employer contributions. Benefits are calculated with the following formula for members who attain normal retirement age:

General service: 1.5 percent is multiplied by the number of years of service and the final average salary. Normal retirement age for general service members is age 65, or age 58 with 30 years of retirement credit.

A member of the OPSRP pension program becomes vested on the earliest of the following dates: the date the member completes 600 hours of service in each of five calendar years, the date the member reaches normal retirement age, and, if the pension program is terminated, the date on which termination becomes effective.

- 2. *Death Benefits.* Upon the death of a non-retired member, the spouse or other person who is constitutionally required to be treated in the same manner as the spouse, receives for life 50 percent of the pension that would otherwise have been paid to the deceased member.
- 3. *Disability Benefits.* A member who has accrued 10 or more years of retirement credits before the member becomes disabled or a member who becomes disabled due to job-related injury shall receive a disability benefit of 45 percent of the member's salary determined as of the last full month of employment before the disability occurred.

NOTE 13 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (Continued)

4. Benefit Changes after Retirement. Under ORS 238A.210 monthly benefits are adjusted annually through cost-of-living changes. Under current law, the cap on the COLA in fiscal year 2015 and beyond will vary based on 1.25 percent on the first \$60,000 of annual benefit and 0.15 percent on annual benefits above \$60,000.

Contributions:

OPERS funding policy provides for monthly employer contributions at actuarially determined rates. These contributions, expressed as a percentage of covered payroll, are intended to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. This funding policy applies to the PERS Defined Benefit Plan and the Other Postemployment Benefit Plans.

Employer contribution rates during the period were based on the December 31, 2011 actuarial valuation, as subsequently modified by 2013 legislated changes in benefit provisions. The rates based on a percentage of payroll, first became effective July 1, 2013. The state of Oregon and certain schools, community colleges, and political subdivisions have made lump sum payments to establish side accounts, and their rates have been reduced.

Employer contributions for the year ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014 were \$127,024 and \$150,259, respectively. The rates in effect for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014 were: (1) Tier1/Tier 2 – 11.02%, (2) OPSRP general service – 9.84%.

Actuarial Valuations:

The employer contribution rates effective July 1, 2013, through June 30, 2015, were set using the entry age normal actuarial cost method. For the Tier One/Tier Two component of the PERS Defined Benefit Plan, this method produced an employer contribution rate consisting of (1) an amount for normal cost (the estimated amount necessary to finance benefits earned by the employees during the current service year), (2) an amount for the amortization of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities, which are being amortized over a fixed period with new unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities being amortized over 20 years. For the OPSRP Pension Program component of the PERS Defined Benefit Plan, this method produced an employer contribution rate consisting of (a) an amount for normal cost (the estimated amount necessary to finance benefits earned by the employees during the current service year), (b) an amount for the amortization of unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities, which are being amortized over a fixed period with new unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities being amortized over 16 years.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions:

Valuation Date	December 31, 2012 rolled forward to June 30, 2014.				
Experience Study Report	2012, published September 18, 2013				
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal				
Amortization Method	Amortized as a level percentage of payroll as layered amortization				
	bases over a closed period; Tier One/Tier Two UAL is amortized over				
	20 years and OPSRP pension UAL is amortized over 16 years.				
Asset Valuation Method	Market value of assets				
Actuarial Assumptions:					
Inflation Rate	2.75 percent				
Investment Rate of Return	7.75 percent				
Projected Salary Increases	3.75 percent overall payroll growth; salaries for individuals are				
	assumed to grow at 3.75 percent plus assumed rates of merit/longevity				
	increases based on service.				
Mortality	Healthy retirees and beneficiaries:				
	RP-2000 Sex-distinct, generational per Scale AA, with collar adjustments and set-backs as described in the valuation.				
	Active members:				
	Mortality rates are a percentage of healthy retiree rates that vary by group, as described in the valuation.				
	Disabled retirees:				
	Mortality rates are a percentage (65% for males, 90% for females) of the RP-2000 static combined disabled mortality sex-distinct table.				

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of projected benefits and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. Experience studies are performed as of December 31 of even numbered years. The methods and assumptions shown above are based on the 2012 Experience Study which reviewed experience for the four-year period ending on December 31, 2012.

Discount Rate:

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.75 percent for the Defined Benefit Pension Plan. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members and those of the contributing employers are made at the contractually required rates, as actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments for the Defined Benefit Pension Plan was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Depletion Date Projection

GASB 67 generally requires that a blended discount rate be used to measure the Total Pension Liability (the Actuarial Accrued Liability calculated using the Individual Entry Age Normal Cost Method). The long-term expected return on plan investments may be used to discount liabilities to the extent that the plan's Fiduciary Net Position (fair market value of assets) is projected to cover benefit payments and administrative expenses. A 20-year high quality (AA/Aa or higher) municipal bond rate must be used for periods where the Fiduciary Net Position is not projected to cover benefit payments and administrative expenses. Determining the discount rate under GASB 67 will often require that the actuary perform complex projections of future benefit payments and asset values. GASB 67 (paragraph 43) does allow for alternative evaluations of projected solvency, if such evaluation can reliably be made. GASB does not contemplate a specific method for making an alternative evaluation of sufficiency; it is left to professional judgment.

The following circumstances justify an alternative evaluation of sufficiency for Oregon PERS:

- Oregon PERS has a formal written policy to calculate an Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC), which is articulated in the actuarial valuation report.
- The ADC is based on a closed, layered amortization period, which means that payment of the full ADC each year will bring the plan to a 100% funded position by the end of the amortization period if future experience follows assumption.
- GASB 67 specifies that the projections regarding future solvency assume that plan assets earn the assumed rate of return and there are no future changes in the plan provisions or actuarial methods and assumptions, which means that the projections would not reflect any adverse future experience which might impact the plan's funded position.

Based on these circumstances, it is our independent actuary's opinion that the detailed depletion date projections outlined in GASB 67 would clearly indicate that the Fiduciary Net Position is always projected to be sufficient to cover benefit payments and administrative expenses.

Assumed Asset Allocation:

Asset Class/Strategy	Low Range	High Range	OIC Target
Cash	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%
Debt Securities	15.0	25.0	20.0
Public Equity	32.5	42.5	37.5
Private Equity	16.0	24.0	20.0
Real Estate	9.5	15.5	12.5
Alternative Equity	0.0	10.0	10.0
Opportunity Portfolio	0.0	3.0	0.0
Total			100.0%

Long-Term Expected Rate of Return:

To develop an analytical basis for the selection of the long-term expected rate of return assumption, in July 2013 the PERS Board reviewed long-term assumptions developed by both Milliman's capital market assumptions team and the Oregon Investment Council's (OIC) investment advisors. The table below shows Milliman's assumptions for each of the asset classes in which the plan was invested at that time based on the OIC long-term target asset allocation. The OIC's description of each asset class was used to map the target allocation to the asset classes shown below. Each asset class assumption is based on a consistent set of underlying assumptions, and includes adjustment for the inflation assumption. These assumptions are not based on historical returns, but instead are based on a forward-looking capital market economic model.

		Compound Annual
Asset Class	Target	Return (Geometric)
Core Fixed Income	7.20%	4.50%
Short-Term Bonds	8.00	3.70
Intermediate-Term Bonds	3.00	4.10
High Yield Bonds	1.80	6.66
Large Cap US Equities	11.65	7.20
Mid Cap US Equities	3.88	7.30
Small Cap US Equities	2.27	7.45
Developed Foreign Equities	14.21	6.90
Emerging Foreign Equities	5.49	7.40
Private Equity	20.00	8.26
Opportunity Funds/Absolute Return	5.00	6.01
Real Estate (Property)	13.75	6.51
Real Estate (REITS)	2.50	6.76
Commodities	7.71	6.07
Assumed Inflation – Mean		2.75

Sensitivity of the Port's proportionate share of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.75 percent, as well as what the Port's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower (6.75 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.75 percent) than the current rate:

	1% l	Decrease (6.75%)	Disco	ount Rate (7.75%)	1% I	ncrease (8.75%)
Proportionate share of						_
the net pension liability	\$	590,679	\$	(278,933)	\$	(1,014,421)

Pension plan fiduciary net position. Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued OPERS financial report.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2015, the Port reported an asset of \$278,933 for its proportionate share of the net pension asset. The net pension asset was measured as of June 30, 2014, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension asset was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2012 and rolled forward to June 30, 2014. The Port's proportion of the net pension asset was based on the Port's projected long-term contribution effort as compared to the total projected long-term contribution effort of all employers.

Rates of every employer have at least two major components:

- 1. Normal Cost Rate: The economic value, stated as a percent of payroll, for the portion of each active member's total projected retirement benefit that is allocated to the upcoming year of service. The rate is in effect for as long as each member continues in OPERS-covered employment. The current value of all projected future Normal Cost Rate contributions is the Present Value of Future Normal Costs (PVFNC). The PVFNC represents the portion of the projected long-term contribution effort related to future service.
- 2. UAL Rate: If system assets are less than the actuarial liability, an Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) exists. UAL can arise in a biennium when an event such as experience differing from the assumptions used in the actuarial valuation occurs. An amortization schedule is established to eliminate the UAL that arises in a given biennium over a fixed period of time if future experience follows assumption. The UAL Rate is the upcoming year's component of the cumulative amortization schedules, stated as a percent of payroll. The present value of all projected UAL Rate contributions is simply the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) itself. The UAL represents the portion of the projected long-term contribution effort related to past service.
- 3. Looking at both rate components, the projected long-term contribution effort is just the sum of the PVFNC and the UAL. The PVFNC part of the contribution effort pays for the value of future service while the UAL part of the contribution effort pays for the value of past service not already funded by accumulated contributions and investment earnings.

The UAL has Tier 1/Tier 2 and OPSRP pieces. The Tier 1/Tier 2 piece is based on the employer's Tier 1/Tier 2 pooling arrangement. If an employer participates in one of the two large Tier 1/Tier 2 rate pools [State & Local Government Rate Pool (SLGRP) or School Districts Rate Pool], then the employer's Tier 1/Tier 2 UAL is just their pro-rata share of their pool's UAL. The pro-rata calculation is based on the employer's payroll in proportion to the pool's total payroll. For example, if the employer's payroll is one percent of the pool's total payroll, the employer will be allocated one percent of the pool's UAL. The OPSRP piece of the UAL follows a parallel pro-rata approach, as OPSRP experience is mandatorily pooled at a state-wide level. Employers that do not participate in a Tier 1/Tier 2 pooling arrangement, who are referred to as "Independent Employers", have their Tier 1/Tier 2 UAL tracked separately in the actuarial valuation. The division of the UAL across employers is shown graphically below.

An employer's PVFNC depends on both the normal cost rates charged on the employer's payrolls, and on the underlying demographics of the respective payrolls. For OPERS funding, employers have up to three different payrolls, each with a different normal cost rate: (1) Tier 1/Tier 2 payroll, (2) OPSRP general service payroll, and (3) OPSRP police and fire payroll.

The employer's Normal Cost Rates for each payroll are combined with system-wide present value factors for each payroll to develop an estimated PVFNC. The present value factors are actuarially determined at a system level for simplicity and to allow for the PVFNC calculations to be audited in a timely, cost-effective manner. Thus for each and every system employer, the PVFNC is calculated following the format in the table below.

Since many governments in Oregon have sold pension obligation bonds and deposited the proceeds with OPERS (referred to as side accounts or transitional liability or surplus), adjustments are required. After each employer's projected long-term contribution effort is calculated, that amount is reduced by the value of the employer's side account, transitional liability/surplus, and pre-SLGRP liability/surplus (if any). This is done as those balances increase/decrease the employer's projected long-term contribution effort because side accounts are effectively pre-paid contributions.

Looking at both rate components, the projected long-term contribution effort is just the sum of the PVFNC and UAL. The PVFNC part of the contribution effort pays for the value of future service while the UAL part of the contribution effort pays for the value of past service not already funded by accumulated contributions and investment earnings. Each of the two contribution effort components are calculated at the employer-specific level. The sum of these components across all employers is the total projected long-term contribution effort.

At June 30, 2015, the Port's proportion was 0.01 percent.

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the Port recognized negative pension expense of \$251,711. At June 30, 2015, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflow of		Deferred Inflow of	
	Resources		R	lesources
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on investments	\$	-	\$	(538,228)
Changes in proportion and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of				
contributions		19,975		-
Total (prior to post-measurement date contributions)		19,975		(538,228)
Contributions made subsequent to measurement				
date		127,024		
Net Deferred Outflow/(Inflow) of Resources	\$	146,999	\$	(538,228)

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Port contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Employer subsequent	Deferred Outflow/(Inflow) of				
fiscal years	Resources ()	prior to post-			
	measurei	ment date			
	contrib	outions)			
2016	\$	(130,215)			
2017		(130,215)			
2018		(130,215)			
2019		(130,215)			
2020		2,607			
Thereafter		-			
Total		(518,253)			

Changes in Plan Provisions Subsequent to Measurement Date:

The Oregon Supreme Court on April 30, 2015, ruled that the provisions of Senate Bill 861, signed into law in October 2013, that limited the post-retirement COLA on benefits accrued prior to the signing of the law was unconstitutional. Benefits could be modified prospectively, but not retrospectively. As a result, those who retired before the bills were passed will continue to receive a COLA tied to the Consumer Price Index that normally results in a 2% increase annually. We will make restoration payments to those benefit recipients.

PERS members who have accrued benefits before and after the effective dates of the 2013 legislation will have a blended COLA rate when they retire.

This is a change in benefit terms subsequent to the measurement date of June 30, 2014, and will not be included in the net pension liability (asset) proportionate shares provided to employers in June 2015.

It is estimated that this change will increase the Port's share of the net pension liability by approximately \$605,031.

		After Moro
	Prior to Moro	(estimated)
Total pension liability	\$ 7,769,122	\$ 8,373,968
Fiduciary net position	8,048,054	8,047,869
Net pension liablity (asset)	\$ (278,932)	\$ 326,099

Defined Contribution Plan

OPSRP Individual Account Program (OPSRP IAP)

Pension Benefits

Participants in OPERS defined benefit pension plans also participate in their defined contribution plan. An IAP member becomes vested on the date the employee account is established or on the date the rollover account was established. If the employer makes optional employer contributions for a member, the member becomes vested on the earliest of the following dates: the date the member completes 600 hours of service in each of five calendar years, the date the member reaches normal retirement age, the date the IAP is terminated, the date the active member becomes disabled, or the date the active member dies.

Upon retirement, a member of the OPSRP Individual Account Program (IAP) may receive the amounts in his or her employee account, rollover account, and vested employer account as a lump-sum payment or in equal installments over a 5-, 10-, 15-, 20-year period or an anticipated life span option. Each distribution option has a \$200 minimum distribution limit.

Death Benefits

Upon the death of a non-retired member, the beneficiary receives in a lump sum the member's account balance, rollover account balance, and vested employer optional contribution account balance. If a retired member dies before the installment payments are completed, the beneficiary may receive the remaining installment payments or choose a lump-sum payment.

Contributions

The Port has elected to pay the employee contributions to the plan for some employees equating to 6 percent of covered payroll. For fiscal year 2015 the Port paid \$12,930.

Recordkeeping

PERS contracts with VOYA Financial to maintain IAP participant records.

NOTE 14 - OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Plan description - The Port does not have a formal post-employment benefits plan for any employee groups; however, the Port offers medical benefits to retirees who are eligible under a) PERS Tier 1 or 2, being 55 years, or any age with 30 years of service, or b) OPSRP member, being age 55 with 5 years of service. The Port pays the medical premiums for eligible retirees until Medicare eligibility, and reimburses the Medicare Supplement premium thereafter. This explicit benefit is required to be valued under GASB Statement 45.

Of the Port's 28 plan participants, 22 are active plan participants and 6 are inactive plan participants.

NOTE 14 - OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

In addition to the explicit medical benefits for certain retirees, continued medical coverage is offered to the Port's eligible retirees, their spouses and dependents until Medicare eligibility. The active premium rate, whether paid by the Port or by the retiree, still applies.

In some cases the premium itself does not represent the full cost of covering retirees, as retirees are older than the active population and can generate higher medical claims and premiums. This additional cost is called the "implicit subsidy." An implicit subsidy does not exist in an arrangement that is deemed to be "community rated" by a qualified actuary. In general, a community rated situation is one in which the health care claims of the employer is not expected to impact the premiums being charged to the employer. The Port participates in the Special Districts Association of health plans, along with many other special districts. Therefore, the arrangement is deemed to be community rated and there is no implicit subsidy to value.

Annual OPEB cost and net OPEB obligation - The Port's annual other postemployment benefit cost is calculated based on the Annual Required Contribution (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal costs each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities over an open period of 30 years, the maximum period allowed under GASB 45. The following table shows the components of the Port's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the Port's OPEB obligation.

	6/30/2015	6/30/2014
Annual required contributions (ARC)	\$ 32,916	\$ 31,972
Interest on net OPEB obligation	1,029	826
Adjustment to ARC	(1,044)	(812)
Annual OPEB cost	32,901	31,986
Less OPEB contributions (amonts paid		
by the Port during the year)	(45,344)	(44,514)
Increase in net OPEB obligation	(12,443)	(12,528)
Net OPEB obligation - beginning of year	8,114	20,642
Net OPEB obligation/(asset) - end of year	\$ (4,329)	\$ 8,114

The Port's annual OPEB cost, annual OPEB contributions, the percentage contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation/(asset) for the last three fiscal years were as follows:

Fiscal	Annual					Net OPEB
Year	OPEB	Co	ntributions	Percentage	C	bligation/
Ended	Cost		Made	Contributed		(Asset)
6/30/2013	\$ 31,067	\$	24,850	80%	\$	20,642
6/30/2014	31,986		44,514	139%		8,114
6/30/2015	32,901		45,344	138%		(4,329)

NOTE 14 - OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Funded status and funding progress - As of July 1, 2012, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, and therefore, had no assets. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$475,129 and also equaled the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL). The annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan (covered payroll) was \$1,802,201 and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 26.4%.

The plan's actuarial valuation involves estimates of amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future, such as, future employment, mortality, and healthcare cost trends. Amounts determined regarding the funding status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to periodic revision as actual results for each period are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

Actuarial assumptions - Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan member to that point. The actuarial methods and assumption used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial results consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the July 1, 2012 actuarial valuation report, the actuary used the Projected Unit Credit actuarial cost method. The actuarial assumptions included (a) 4 percent accrued liability discount rate, (b) heath care cost trend rate of 7.5 percent for 2013-14 grading down over 17 years to 5 percent, and (c) a payroll growth assumption of 3.0 percent. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll over an open period of 30 years.

NOTE 15 - DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

The Port provides a deferred compensation plan, established in 1971. The plan is administered by a committee appointed by the commissioners of the Port. Any employee or independently contracted person, whom the committee designates as eligible, may defer a portion of their salary until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency. The trust assets are held in a custodial trust for the exclusive benefit of participants and beneficiaries, they are not subject to the claims of public employer creditors nor can they be used by the public employer for any purpose other than the payment of benefits to those individuals participating in the plan or their designated beneficiaries. Accordingly, the plan assets are not included in the statement of net position.

NOTE 16 - NET POSITION

The components of net position at June 30, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

	2015	 2014
Net investment in capital assets:		
Net capital assets	\$ 30,046,324	\$ 30,683,779
Bornstein note payable	8,856,717	9,200,933
Less:		
Current portion of long term debt	808,660	980,301
Long term debt	16,330,202	16,891,971
	21,764,179	 22,012,440
Restricted for capital improvements to Pier 3	370,880	200,732
Unrestricted	 (1,993,233)	 (2,638,078)
Total net position	\$ 20,141,826	\$ 19,575,094

NOTE 17 - POLLUTION REMEDIATION OBLIGATION

Astoria Area-Wide Groundwater Contamination site - The Port has identified a number of contaminated areas on its property that it is required to investigate, monitor, and at times address the identified contaminants under State environmental laws. The Port was informed by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) that the Port, along with other potentially responsible parties (PRPs), is required to remediate contaminant identified in at least one of the site areas. Although the Port may not bear ultimate responsibility for the contamination, under State law the Port is presumptively liable as the property owner, and it is often practically and financially beneficial for the Port to take initial responsibility to manage and pay for the cleanup. In each of these matters, the Port is cooperating with the notifying agency and taking appropriate action with other PRPs to investigate and remediate pollution damage or contamination.

The Port has developed a procedure consistent with the current accounting standard to recognize liabilities for environmental cleanups, to the extent that such liabilities can be reasonably estimated. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Port's cleanup cost estimate is \$5,319,800, based on reasonable and supportable assumptions, measured at current value using the expected cash flow technique. The Port's pollution cleanup cost estimate does not include cost components that are not yet reasonably measurable. The Port's pollution cleanup cost estimate will change over time due to changes in costs of goods and services, changes in remediation technology, and changes in governing laws and regulations.

NOTE 17 - POLLUTION REMEDIATION OBLIGATION (Continued)

The Port anticipates successfully recovering Port incurred investigation and cleanup costs from other PRPs. The Port will continue to seek appropriate recovering in the future. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the pollution remediation liabilities were reduced to \$2,588,045 and \$2,659,900, respectively, for estimated unrealized recoveries, and expenses incurred to-date.

Waterfront district storm water violation – During fiscal year 2014, the Port performed routine sampling of storm water discharge, in accordance with permitting requirements with the ODEQ. These samples exceeded the allowed benchmarks for contaminants and the Port became aware that corrective action would be necessary. At June 30, 2014, the Port was not aware of the degree of response that would be required.

During fiscal year 2015, the Port received confirmation of the violation requiring a Tier II Corrective Action including a revised Storm Water Pollution Control Plan (SWPCP), and the implementation of treatment measures. The deadline for implementing corrective action is June 30, 2016.

As of June 30, 2015, design work is in progress, and the Port's estimated cost to satisfy the Tier II violation is \$1,630,650, based on reasonable and supportable assumptions, measured at current value using the expected cash flow technique. The Port's design and implementation cost estimate does not include components that are not yet reasonably measurable. The Port's cost estimate will change over time due to changes in costs of goods and services, changes in design configuration, and changes in governing laws and regulations.

The Port anticipates that current and future tenants will share in the long-term repayment of this storm water treatment project based on a pro-rata share of the affected area. As of June 30, 2015, the pollution remediation liabilities were reduced to \$405,000 for estimated unrealized recoveries.

	Estimated Remediation Costs June 30, 2014	Additions	Reductions	Estimated recoveries	Pollution remediation obligation, net June 30, 2015
Area-Wide Groundwater Contamination	\$ 5,319,800		\$ (71,855)	\$ (2,659,900)	\$ 2,588,045
Waterfront district storm water violation		4.600.650		(4.005.650)	405.000
		1,630,650		(1,225,650)	405,000
Total pollution remediation obligation, net	\$ 5,319,800	\$ 1,630,650	\$ (71,855)	\$ (3,885,550)	\$ 2,993,045

NOTE 18 - RISK MANAGEMENT

The Port is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the Port carries commercial insurance, but may still be exposed to some risk of loss. No settlements of any claims exceeded the insurance coverage in the past three years.

NOTE 19 - CONTINGENCIES

Amounts received or receivable from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies, principally the federal and State of Oregon governments. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, could become a liability of the Port. Management believes amounts disallowed, if any, would not be material to the Port.

The Port is a defendant in various lawsuits. The likely outcome of these lawsuits is not determinable at this time; however, Port management intends to defend these lawsuits vigorously and believes the likely outcome will not have a material adverse effect on the Port's basic financial statements.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION		

PORT OF ASTORIA REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION JUNE 30, 2015

Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Funding Progress for the Port

Actuarial			A	Actuarial					UAAL as a
Valuation			1	Accrued	Unf	funded AAL	Funded	Covered	Percentage of
Date	Ass	ets	Liab	oility (AAL)		(UAAL)	Ratio	Payroll	Covered Payroll
7/1/2009	\$	-	\$	463,754	\$	463,754	0%	\$ 1,093,746	42.4%
7/1/2012		-		475,129		475,129	0%	1,802,201	26.4%

Schedule of Port's Pension Contributions

	FY 2015	FY 2014			
Contractually required contribution Contribution's in relation to the	\$ 127,024	\$ 150,259			
contractually required contribution	127,024	150,259			
	\$ 254,048	\$ 300,518			
Port's covered employee payroll Contributions as a percentage of covered	\$ 1,557,971	\$ 1,398,824			
employee payroll	8.2%	10.7%			

Schedule of Port's Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability (Asset)

	FY 2015		FY 2015
Port's proportion of the net pension liability (asset)	0.01230561%	Port's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered employee payroll	-17.9%
Port's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)	\$ (278,933)	Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage	
Port's covered employee payroll	\$ 1,557,971	of the total pension liability	103.6%

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Pursuant to the provisions of Oregon Revised Statute, an individual schedule of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances requires budget and actual be displayed for each fund where legally adopted budgets are required.

Budgetary Comparison schedules include the following funds:

General Fund

The General Fund is used to account for the operations of the Port's general operational expenses and property tax income that is not reserved for debt service. These operations include the lease of industrial and commercial property, the airport, including hangar rentals, and services provided to ships.

The Special Revenue Fund

The Special Revenue Fund is used to account for timber tax revenues and other resources that are not used for ordinary expenses of the Port. Expenditures are used primarily for capital outlay.

PORT OF ASTORIA COMBINED SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

	Bu Original	dget Final	Actual	Variance with Final Budget
REVENUES: Lease & rental income Fuel sales Rebilled expenses	\$ 3,033,153 1,335,000 1,477,450	\$ 3,033,153 1,335,000 1,477,450	\$ 3,095,498 1,278,628 1,629,926	\$ 62,345 (56,372) 152,476
Pier revenue Marina revenue Finance charges Other income	1,722,000 350,000 - 921,025	1,722,000 350,000 - 921,025	1,789,323 812,183 2,002 510,312	67,323 462,183 2,002 (410,713)
Property taxes Timber revenue Intergovernmental grants	642,000 178,057 5,672,243	642,000 178,057 5,672,243	605,434 171,444 724,106	(36,566) (6,613) (4,948,137)
Investment earnings Other county revenues Other	2,150 35,000 <u>25</u>	2,150 35,000 25	786 37,712 	(1,364) 2,712 (25)
Total revenues	15,368,103	15,368,103	10,657,354	(4,710,749)
EXPENDITURES: Materials and services Personnel services Debt service	3,632,236 2,205,009	3,632,236 2,205,009	4,208,237 2,227,341	(576,001) (22,332)
Principal Interest Capital outlay	749,003 719,042 7,560,510	749,003 719,042 7,560,510	811,250 689,116 1,217,285	(62,247) 29,926 6,343,225
Contingency	6,000	6,000	258,988	(252,988)
Total expenditures Revenues over (under) expenditures	<u>14,871,800</u> 496,303	<u>14,871,800</u> 496,303	9,412,217	5,459,583 748,834
	490,303	490,303	1,245,137	/40,034
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Loan payments			(2,354)	(2,354)
Total other financing sources (uses)			(2,354)	(2,354)
Changes in net position	496,303	496,303	1,242,783	746,480
NET POSITION, BEGINNING BUDGETARY BASIS	125,000	125,000	1,184,580	1,059,580
NET POSITION, ENDING BUDGETARY BASIS	\$ 621,303	\$ 621,303	\$ 2,427,363	\$ 1,806,060
Total revenue and expenditures above Expenditures capitalized Depreciation expense Gain on disposal of capital assets Debt service principal payments Property taxes receivable Interest payable			Revenues \$ 10,657,354	\$ 9,412,217 (1,217,285) 1,854,741 (500) (811,250) - 4,767
Prepaid expenses Inventory Net pension asset Deferred outflows of resources - pension Lease receivable			- - - - (352,206)	(61,489) 17,301 (278,933) (146,999)
Pollution remediation Clatsop County Assessment Deferred inflows of resources - pension Unearned revenue Compensated absences OPEB liability			355,067 - -	333,145 (2,764) 538,228 - 39,974 (12,443)
Total revenues and expenses - generally accepte	ed accounting princ	iples	\$ 10,713,156	\$ 9,668,710
Change in net position				\$ 1,044,446

PORT OF ASTORIA GENERAL FUND SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

	Bu	dget		Variance with		
	Original	Final	Actual	Final Budget		
REVENUES:						
Lease & rental income	\$ 3,033,153	\$ 3,033,153	\$ 3,095,498	\$ 62,345		
Fuel sales	1,335,000	1,335,000	1,278,628	(56,372)		
Rebilled expenses	1,477,450	1,477,450	1,629,926	152,476		
Pier revenue	1,722,000	1,722,000	1,789,323	67,323		
Marina revenue	350,000	350,000	812,183	462,183		
Finance charges	-	-	2,002	2,002		
Other income	921,025	921,025	510,312	(410,713)		
Property taxes	642,000	642,000	605,434	(36,566)		
Intergovernmental grants	5,672,243	5,672,243	724,106	(4,948,137)		
Investment earnings	2,150	2,150	786	(1,364)		
Total revenues	15,155,021	15,155,021	10,448,198	(4,706,823)		
EXPENDITURES:						
Materials and services	3,632,236	3,632,236	4,208,237	(576,001)		
Personnel services	2,205,009	2,205,009	2,227,341	(22,332)		
Debt service						
Principal	749,003	749,003	811,250	(62,247)		
Interest	719,042	719,042	689,116	29,926		
Bad debt	6,000	6,000	258,988	(252,988)		
Capital outlay	7,560,510	7,560,510	1,217,285	6,343,225		
Total expenditures	14,871,800	14,871,800	9,412,217	5,459,583		
Revenues over (under) expenditures	283,221	283,221	1,035,981	752,760		
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):						
Loan payments			(2,354)	(2,354)		
Total other financing sources (uses)			(2,354)	(2,354)		
Changes in net position	283,221	283,221	1,033,627	750,406		
NET POSITION, BEGINNING BUDGETARY BASIS			773,991	773,991		
NET POSITION, ENDING BUDGETARY BASIS	\$ 283,221	\$ 283,221	\$ 1,807,618	\$ 1,524,397		

PORT OF ASTORIA THE SPECIAL REVENUE FUND SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION – BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

	Budget						Var	riance with	
		Original		Final		Actual		Final Budget	
REVENUES:									
Other county revenues	\$	35,000	\$	35,000	\$	37,712	\$	2,712	
Timber revenue		178,057		178,057		171,444		(6,613)	
Other		25		25				(25)	
Total revenues		213,082		213,082		209,156		(3,926)	
Changes in net position		213,082		213,082		209,156		(3,926)	
NET POSITION, BEGINNING BUDGETARY BASIS		125,000		125,000		410,589		285,589	
NET POSITION, ENDING BUDGETARY BASIS	\$	338,082	\$	338,082	\$	619,745	\$	281,663	

OTHER FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

PORT OF ASTORIA SCHEDULE OF PROPERTY TAX TRANSACTIONS AND OUTSTANDING BALANCES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Fiscal Year	Ва	ollected lance 30, 2014	20	014-2015 Levy	 scounts & ustments	C	ollections	Uncollected Balance June 30, 2015		
2014-15	\$	-	\$	673,282	(18,577)	\$	(629,952)	\$	24,753	
2013-14		26,787		-	(112)		(14,313)		12,362	
2012-13		15,080			(85)		(6,979)		8,016	
2011-12		9,695		-	(58)		(6,192)		3,445	
2010-11		5,207		-	(114)		(3,538)		1,555	
2009-10		1,575		-	(220)		(341)		1,014	
Prior years		3,269		-	(800)		(488)		1,981	
Totals	\$	61,613	\$	673,282	\$ (19,966)	\$	(661,803)	\$	53,126	

COMPLIANCE REPORTS



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners Port of Astoria Astoria, Oregon

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Port of Astoria, Oregon (the "Port") as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Port's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Port's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Port's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Port's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as 2015-001 to be a material weakness.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as 2015-002 through 2015-003 to be significant deficiencies.



MOSS-ADAMS LLP

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Port's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as item 2015-001.

Port's Response to Findings

The Port's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying *schedule of findings and responses*. The Port's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Eugene, Oregon December 22, 2015

Moss Adams, LLP

FINDINGS RELATED TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WHICH ARE REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

FINDING 2015-001 - Awarding Public Contracts (Material Weakness in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting and Reportable Instances of Noncompliance)

Criteria – The primary objective of an effective procurement policy is achieving the best value, as well as compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Oregon Revised Statues (ORS) 279 provides public purchasing laws that special districts are to follow. As allowed by ORS 279, the Port created its own Contract Review Board which provides additional guidance for Port contracting. Purchases made by the Port should comply with its own purchasing policies and procedures as well as ORS 279.

Condition - During our testing of contracts, we tested four contracts where insufficient documentation was available to substantiate compliance with purchasing policies and procedures. The specific procurement issues identified are as follows:

- A construction contract in excess of \$500,000 did not meet the exemption requirements from competitive bidding, and failed to go through the competitive bidding process.
- Written contracts are required for all contracts and agreements for purchases of goods and services of \$5,000 or more. One vendor was paid for approximately \$93,000 of work during 2015, and the Port was only able to locate a written contract for approximately \$10,000 of work. The larger dollar amount ultimately spent dictates that additional procurement requirements are met.
- A contract may be amended to extend the time of completion of performance for up to one year
 without additional competition. One contract was beyond the optional years of renewal.
 Although the contract period lapsed, the vendor was still performing work under the terms of
 the original contract.
- Petroleum products contracts are exempt from competitive bidding provided at least three vendors in the area are sought, purchase is from the least expensive source, and written justification for the purchase made is retained in the procurement file. The Port could not locate evidence that the exemption requirements were met.

Effect – Contracts may be disallowed if there is failure to comply with purchasing policies and public procurement and contracting compliance requirements.

Cause - Proper internal controls are not designed and implemented, and there is inadequate oversight of the contracting process.

Recommendation – We recommend the Port implement policies and procedures to ensure public procurement and contracting compliance. Such controls should start with a presoliciation phase beginning with Port identifying its needs, developing the specification, and determining the method used for acquiring the goods and services. Once the Port is in the solicitation phase, the Port should follow its purchasing guidelines to ensure the appropriate procurement process is followed, depending on the rules dictated by the amount and type of purchase.

Response - Management is in agreement with the findings and recommendations. Management will work with both the external auditor and a consultant to identify the cause and assist with improving and implementing updates to the Port's purchasing guidelines to ensure appropriate procurement processes are designed, implemented and followed. Any revisions to the Port's purchasing policies will be brought before the Port Commission to be formally addressed in the form of one or more Board Resolutions.

FINDING 2015-002 - Proper Separation of Duties in the Accounting Function (Significant Deficiency in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting) - Repeat Finding

Criteria - Effective internal controls require adequate segregation of duties of several functions including the authority for transactions, access and custody of cash and other assets, billing customers or making payments to vendors, and recording of transactions in the general ledger. Employees who have total control of a government's accounting system can overcome internal controls. It is surprisingly common, especially in smaller governments, for a single person to control all of a government's checks, deposits and ledgers. In these situations, the entity is subject to greater risk of employee theft of incoming cash and then concealing it by making unsupported entries in the organization's books. Employees who commit check fraud are often in charge of reconciling the bank accounts of the organization, and have total control over the disbursements process. Poor separation of duties is very often the weakness that allows these fraud schemes to go undetected.

Condition – Prior to current Port management's involvement in fiscal year 2015, we found the following areas where internal controls may not have been effective because proper segregation of duties was not in place:

Accounts payable - The individuals responsible for signing checks had the authority to approve invoices for payment, and the sole responsibility of entering accounting entries in the accounting system. We believe these check signers could also transfer electronic funds, and they maintained a manual signature stamp instrument with the signatures of other authorized check signers.

Billing customers – Individuals are responsible for hand calculating invoices, inputting billings into the accounting system, and no review occurs over invoices billed to customers.

Deposits - One individual was responsible for bank deposits, deposit receipt reconciliation, bank reconciliations, and posting deposits.

Payroll - Individuals collecting payroll information and submitting the data to a third party payroll processer, also made entries in the QuickBooks accounting system, and were responsible for reconciling the payroll bank account. In addition, no formal review of the monthly payroll journal entry occurred.

Context - The Port has approximately 25 full-time equivalents on staff, but only two with the primary responsibility for keeping the Port's books and records which on the surface, limits the opportunity to have robust separation of duties.

Effect - The Port has been operating with an increased risk of unauthorized activity and fraudulent activity.

Cause - Proper accounting controls were not designed and implemented, and adequate oversight of the accounting function was lacking.

Recommendation – The Port began implementing procedures during fiscal year 2015 to improve separation of duties issues. We recommend the Port continue implementing policies and procedures to ensure the following key areas have segregated duties and responsibilities documented and adhered to:

FINDING 2015-002 - Proper Separation of Duties in the Accounting Function (Significant Deficiency in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting) - Repeat Finding (Continued)

Accounts payable - Develop a distinct separation of duties with accounts payable transactions, including written policies and procedures for all personnel including who has the authority to approve vendors, purchases of goods and services, payment of invoices for goods and services purchased, who can enter activity into the accounting system, who has physical access to blank checks, who reviews payments and signs the checks, and who mails vendor payments, from administrative assistants up to the Port Commission. The functions of purchasing goods or services, authorizing the purchase, receiving the goods and services, and making the payment to the vendor should all be separated. Individuals with check signing authority should not have access to make entries in the accounting system. If signature stamps are used, policies should be instituted over the access and physical control of the signature stamp.

Billing customers – Someone who is not involved with the preparation of customer invoices and lacks access to the accounting system should review invoices prior to billing customers.

Deposits - Each of the following duties and responsibilities should ideally be segregated and documented through written policies and procedures: billing customers, cash receipts, entering transactions in the general ledger, making bank deposits, deposit receipt reconciliation, bank reconciliations, and posting deposits.

Payroll - The following payroll duties should be segregated and documented through written policies and procedures: payroll preparation, payroll disbursement (into payroll and withholding accounts), payroll distribution, payroll bank reconciliation, and human resource functions (e.g. changing pay rates). We recommend setting up a ZBA payroll account (aka sweep account). The ZBA payroll account is joined to a primary checking account. As checks are presented for payment to the payroll account, funds are automatically transferred from the primary (operating) account to cover the disbursements. The payroll account balance is effectively maintained at zero or some nominal amount like \$1,000 as an additional control.

Response - Management Response: Management is aware and in agreement with the findings and recommendations and has already made several changes to address these issues. A formal action plan has already been initiated and management will continue to work with both the external auditor and a consultant to assist with improving and implementing updates to the Port's financial policies. Any revisions to the Port's financial policies will be brought before the Port Commission to be formally addressed in the form of one or more Board Resolutions.

FINDING 2015-003—Physical Inventory of Capital Assets (Significant Deficiency in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting) – Repeat Finding

Criteria - Common to most port districts, the amounts reported in the Port's capital assets exceed by far the amounts reported for all other types of assets combined in its financial statements. Capital assets are used in Port operations, and are responsible for generating a significant amount of the Port's operating revenue. Effective internal controls assist in accurately reporting capital assets owned, still in service, as well as recognizing their cost over their service lives through depreciation charges.

FINDING 2015-003—Capital Asset Accounting and Management (Significant Deficiency in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting) – Repeat Finding (Continued)

Condition – The Port is not taking a physical inventory of its capital assets. Such an inventory should reconcile the Port's capital asset records to the capital asset account balance reported in the Port's financial statements.

Context - The Port has over 600 capital assets to account for with a net book value of approximately \$30 million as of June 30, 2015.

Effect - The Port may fail to report capital asset additions used in current operations or alternatively continue reporting disposed capital assets no longer in use.

Cause – The Port lacks capital asset policies and procedures requiring a physical inventory of capital assets.

Recommendation - We recommend the Port design capital asset policies and procedures, which include a physical asset inventory conducted on at least an annual basis. Such review will help identify unrecorded assets, unreported capital asset retirements, and capital assets on-hand, but not currently used in operations. In addition to periodic physical inspections of recorded capital assets, we recommend the implementation of a periodic reconciliation of the County tax assessor real property records with the Port's capital asset listing.

Response - Management Response: Management is aware and in agreement with the findings and recommendations and has already made several changes to address these issues. A formal action plan has already been initiated and management will continue to work with both the external auditor and a consultant to assist with improving and implementing updates to the Port's financial policies. Any revisions to the Port's financial policies will be brought before the Port Commission to be formally addressed in the form of one or more Board Resolutions.



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OREGON MINIMUM AUDIT STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners Port of Astoria Astoria, Oregon

We have audited the basic financial statements of Port of Astoria, Oregon (the Port), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2015. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the provisions of the *Minimum Standards for Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations*, prescribed by the Secretary of State. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the basic financial statements are free of material misstatement.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Port's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grants, including provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes as specified in Oregon Administrative Rules (OAR) 162-10-000 to 162-10-330, as set forth below, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts:

- The use of approved depositories to secure the deposit of public funds.
- The requirements relating to the preparation, adoption and execution of the annual budgets for fiscal years 2015 and 2016.
- The requirements relating to insurance and fidelity bond coverage.
- The appropriate laws, rules and regulations pertaining to programs funded wholly or partially by other governmental agencies.
- The statutory requirements pertaining to the investment of public funds.
- The requirements pertaining to the awarding of public contracts and the construction of public improvements.

However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Except as discussed below, the results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Minimum Standards for Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations*, prescribed by the Oregon Secretary of State.



MOSS-ADAMS LIP

Awarding Public Contracts

During our testing of contracts, we tested four contracts where insufficient documentation was available to substantiate compliance with purchasing policies and procedures. The specific noncompliance with procurement is reported in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as 2015-001.

Excess of Expenditures over Appropriations

As described in Note 2, *Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability*, the results of testing indicated four instances of non-compliance related to excess expenditures over appropriations.

Resolution Adopting the Budget and Making Appropriations

The Port's resolution adopting the 2014-2015 budget included General Fund unappropriated funds of \$621,303 in error. The Port's intent was adopting the budget with General Fund unappropriated funds of \$283,221 and Special Revenue Funds unappropriated funds of \$338,082.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Port's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Port's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Port's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as 2015-001 to be a material weakness.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as 2015-002 through 2015-003 to be significant deficiencies.

MOSS-ADAMS LLP

James C. layarotta

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and internal control and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's compliance or on internal control. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with the provisions of the *Minimum Standards for Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations* in considering the entity's compliance and internal control. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

For Moss Adams LLP Eugene, Oregon

December 22, 2015